

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Robert Dixon,  
Petitioner

Case No.: 2:17-cv-01636-JAD-GWF

**Order**

v.  
Nevada Dept. of Parole and Probation, et al.,  
Respondents

Pro se petitioner and parolee Robert Dixon was convicted in 1989 of two counts of trafficking a controlled substance, and he received a life sentence on each count.<sup>1</sup> An amended judgment was entered, making Dixon eligible parole after 15 years on the first count and after 25 years on the second count.<sup>2</sup> He petitions for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 and argues that his continued custody on parole violates the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments because his sentence violates NRS 453.3395(2).<sup>3</sup>

This is Dixon's second attempt to petition for relief in this case. When I screened Dixon's first petition, I found that he had not named the proper respondents, and I ordered him to fix that deficiency in an amended petition by naming "his parole officer, the officer in charge of the state parole agency, [and] the state attorney general as required by the petition form, and possibly the official in charge of the state department of corrections."<sup>4</sup> Dixon did not do so; his amended petition still has the same incorrect-respondent deficiencies that I previously identified. So, I give him one last chance to amend his petition and name the appropriate respondents.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Dixon **has until July 9, 2018, to FILE a second-amended petition for a writ of habeas corpus that names the correct respondents.**

<sup>1</sup> ECF No. 7 at 3.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

<sup>4</sup> ECF No. 1.

1 **Dixon MUST name his parole officer and the officer in charge of the parole agency in the**  
2 **second-amended petition, otherwise this case will be DISMISSED without further prior**  
3 **notice.**


4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Dixon must file his second-amended petition **on this**  
5 **court's form** rather than the document that he used for this first-amended petition. Dixon's first-  
6 amended petition violates Local Rule LSR 3-1; violating the local rules may also lead to  
7 dismissal of an action. Dixon is advised that he must sign **both** signature blocks at the end of  
8 this court's form habeas petition. **He must also write the words "SECOND AMENDED"**  
9 **immediately above "Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus" on page 1 in the caption, and he**  
10 **must write the case number 2:17-cv-01636-JAD-GWF above the words "SECOND**  
11 **AMENDED."**

12 An amended petition must be complete in itself, and it must include all claims for relief  
13 that the petitioner knows about. I will only consider claims in the second-amended petition.  
14 This means that Dixon must include all claims from his original and first-amended petitions in  
15 his second-amended petition if he wants me to consider them as bases for granting habeas relief.

16 **If Dixon fails to file a second-amended petition or files a second-amended petition**  
17 **that still has any of the deficiencies that I have outlined in this order, this case will be**  
18 **DISMISSED without further prior notice.**

19 The **Clerk of Court** is directed to **SEND to Dixon** two copies of a noncapital § 2254  
20 petition form, instructions for completing it, and one copy of his first-amended petition (ECF No.  
21 7).

22 Dated: May 9, 2018

23   
24 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey  
25  
26  
27  
28